

# Magellan Infrastructure Fund (Unhedged)



APIR: MGE0006AU | ARSN: 164 285 830

AS AT 30 SEPTEMBER 2023

## PORTFOLIO MANAGER

GERALD STACK

### INVESTMENT PHILOSOPHY

To prudently invest in outstanding infrastructure and utilities companies at attractive prices that exhibit highly predictable cashflows.

### OBJECTIVES

To achieve attractive risk-adjusted returns over the medium to long term; while reducing the risk of permanent capital loss.

### PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Relatively concentrated portfolio of typically 20 to 40 investments. Typical cash and cash equivalents exposure between 0 - 20%.

### INVESTMENT RISKS

All investments carry risk. While it is not possible to identify every risk relevant to an investment in the fund, we have provided details of risks in the Product Disclosure Statement. You can view the PDS for the fund on Magellan's website [www.magellangroup.com.au](http://www.magellangroup.com.au).

## MAGELLAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND (UNHEDGED): KEY PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

TICKER	FUND SIZE	BUY/SELL SPREAD	MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE FEES <sup>1</sup>	INCEPTION DATE
-	AUD \$835.3 million	0.15% /0.15%	1.06%, and performance fee of 10% of dual hurdle excess return <sup>^</sup>	1 July 2013

<sup>^</sup> 10.0% of the excess return of the units of the Fund above the higher of the Index Relative Hurdle (S&P Global Infrastructure Index A\$ Unhedged Net Total Return) and the Absolute Return Hurdle (the yield of 10-year Australian Government Bonds). Additionally, the Performance Fees are subject to a high water mark.

### PERFORMANCE<sup>2</sup>


	1 MONTH (%)	3 MONTHS (%)	1 YEAR (%)	3 YEARS (% p.a.)	5 YEARS (% p.a.)	7 YEARS (% p.a.)	10 YEARS (% p.a.)	Since Inception (% p.a.)	OUTPERFORMANCE CONSISTENCY <sup>+</sup>
Magellan Infrastructure Fund (Unhedged)	-4.3	-6.5	4.4	4.6	4.3	5.7	9.1	9.2	69%
Global Infrastructure Benchmark (A\$)*	-4.4	-4.6	5.5	10.2	5.6	5.9	8.2	8.3	
Excess	0.1	-1.9	-1.1	-5.6	-1.3	-0.2	0.9	0.9	

### CALENDAR YEAR RETURNS

	CYTD (%)	2022 (%)	2021 (%)	2020 (%)	2019 (%)	2018 (%)	2017 (%)	2016 (%)	2015 (%)	2014 (%)	2013 (part year)
Magellan Infrastructure Fund (Unhedged)	-1.1	-1.3	19.2	-14.9	25.5	4.8	14.1	3.7	14.6	23.3	13.4
Global Infrastructure Benchmark (A\$)*	0.4	6.2	17.9	-14.8	25.9	-0.4	10.2	12.0	-1.2	24.8	10.6
Excess	-1.5	-7.5	1.3	-0.1	-0.4	5.2	3.9	-8.3	15.8	-1.5	2.8


Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

### PERFORMANCE CHART GROWTH OF AUD \$10,000<sup>2</sup>



Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

### MARKET CAPTURE<sup>3</sup>



<sup>1</sup> Transaction costs may also apply – refer to the Product Disclosure Statement. All fees are inclusive of the net effect of GST.

<sup>2</sup> Calculations are based on exit price with distributions reinvested, after ongoing fees and expenses but excluding individual tax, member fees and entry fees (if applicable). Returns denoted in AUD.

<sup>3</sup> Market Capture is calculated after fees measured against the monthly return of the MSCI World Net Total Return Index (A\$ Unhedged). Up market capture shows how the fund performed relative to the index while the market is rising. Down market capture shows how the fund performed relative to the index while the market is falling. All MSCI data used is the property of MSCI. No use or distribution without written consent. Data provided "as is" without any warranties. MSCI and its affiliates assume no liability for or in connection with the data. Please see complete disclaimer in [www.magellangroup.com.au/funds/benchmark-information/](http://www.magellangroup.com.au/funds/benchmark-information/)

<sup>+</sup> Outperformance consistency indicates the percentage of positive excess returns for rolling 3 year returns since inception.

\* S&P Global Infrastructure Index A\$ Unhedged Net Total Return spliced with UBS Developed Infrastructure and Utilities Index A\$ Unhedged Net Total Return prior to 1 January 2015. Note: as the UBS Developed Infrastructure and Utilities Index A\$ Unhedged Net Total Return ceased to be published from 31 May 2015, it was replaced by Magellan on 1 January 2015 with the S&P Global Infrastructure Index A\$ Unhedged Net Total Return.


## TOP 10 HOLDINGS

STOCK	SECTOR <sup>4</sup>	%
Vinci SA	Toll Roads	6.5
Transurban Group	Toll Roads	6.4
Ferrovial SE	Toll Roads	6.3
Aena SME SA	Airports	5.8
National Grid Plc	Transmission and Distribution	5.6
Severn Trent	Water Utilities	4.7
United Utilities Group Plc	Water Utilities	4.6
Sempra Energy	Integrated Power	4.4
Norfolk Southern Corporation	Rail	4.1
WEC Energy Group Inc	Integrated Power	4.0
TOTAL:		52.4


## TOP CONTRIBUTORS/DETRACTORS 1 YEAR<sup>5</sup>

TOP 3 CONTRIBUTORS	CONTRIBUTION TO RETURN (%)
Vinci SA	2.5
Aena SME SA	1.7
Ferrovial	1.4
TOP 3 DETRACTORS	CONTRIBUTION TO RETURN (%)
Dominion Energy Inc	-1.2
Crown Castle Inc	-0.9
American Tower Corporation	-0.8

## SECTOR EXPOSURE<sup>4</sup>



## GEOGRAPHICAL EXPOSURE<sup>4</sup>



<sup>4</sup> Sectors are internally defined. Geographical exposures are by domicile of listing. Exposures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

<sup>5</sup> Shows how much the stock has contributed to the fund's gross return for the period in AUD. Excludes non-disclosed positions established in the latest quarter.

## Fund Commentary

---

The portfolio recorded a negative return in the September quarter as 10-year bond rates lifted rapidly as jobs and spending remained resilient, suggesting central banks would need to do more to tame inflation. The stocks that detracted the most were the investments in Australian toll road company Transurban, U.S. rail company Norfolk Southern and U.S. tower company American Tower. Australian toll road operator Transurban traded down on rising Australian bond yields and weaker-than-expected traffic in one of its key markets. U.S.-based rail company Norfolk Southern fell as U.S. bond yields jumped and as they reduced full-year revenue expectations at their H1 result in late July on a slower-than-expected recovery in volumes post the derailment as well as declining fuel surcharges and storage revenue. American Tower declined on the back of higher rates, and as key customers faced potential remediation costs and other liabilities associated with the use of legacy lead cables up until the 1950s.

The only stock that contributed was Netherlands-listed toll road and airport group Ferrovial. The Ferrovial share price rose on better-than-expected traffic from its largest asset, the 407 ETR toll road in Canada, and strong traffic performance from Heathrow Airport.

*Index movements and stock contributors/detractors are based in local currency terms unless stated otherwise.*

## Outlook

---

Notwithstanding our expectations for greater volatility in the short to medium term driven by inflation and interest rates, we are confident that the underlying businesses we have included in our defined universe and in our investment strategy will prove resilient over the longer term. We regard the businesses we invest in to be of high quality and, while short-term movements in share prices reflect issues of the day, we expect that share prices in the longer term will reflect the underlying cash flows leading to investment returns consistent with our expectations.

The strategy seeks to provide investors with attractive risk-adjusted returns from infrastructure securities. It does this by investing in a portfolio of listed infrastructure companies that meet our strict definition of infrastructure at discounts to their assessed intrinsic value. We believe that infrastructure assets, with requisite earnings reliability and a linkage of earnings to inflation, offer attractive, long-term investment propositions. Furthermore, we believe the resilient nature of earnings and the structural linkage of those earnings to inflation means that investment returns typically generated by infrastructure stocks are different from standard asset classes and offer investors diversification when included in an investment portfolio. We believe that in the current uncertain economic and investment climate, the historically reliable financial performance of infrastructure investments makes them attractive, and an investment in listed infrastructure has the potential to reward patient investors with a long-term time frame.

## Stock Story: Norfolk Southern

---

(Yathavan Suthaharan – Investment Analyst)



On 03 February 2023, a freight train operated by Norfolk Southern derailed in the quiet town of East Palestine, Ohio. The derailment resulted in the spillage of hazardous chemicals and a large fire that made international news. It was a significant amount of adverse publicity for a business that has otherwise largely stayed out of the headlines. Despite its low profile, Norfolk Southern's rail network, along with the rest of North America's freight railroads, represents a critical piece of infrastructure, responsible for transporting close to 30% of the freight moved in the region.

The core of the North American freight rail network consists of six major (termed class one) rail networks, which are in turn supplemented by regional and short-line railroads. Norfolk Southern is one of the six major class one railroads.

To provide some context around its sheer scale, the company's network, which spans across the eastern states of the US, consists of approximately 19,100 route miles of track infrastructure and is responsible for moving 179 billion tonne-miles (weight x distance of the goods) of freight every year. Norfolk Southern generated over US\$12.7 billion in revenue last year.

We believe the adverse share price reaction to the derailment is unwarranted given the cash flow impact of the derailment is likely to be immaterial to the intrinsic value of the franchise. We predicate this view on the basis that: 1) the derailment did not result in any injuries, fatalities or material property damage; and 2) the rail car that was the primary driver of the derailment was not owned by the railroad, providing a potential opportunity for recovery of any damages.

More importantly, the news about the derailment masks the long-term value of the franchise. We view Norfolk Southern as an attractive business to own given:

1. the company operates in a favourable and sustainable duopoly industry structure – akin to its other class one peers; and
2. rail is more cost efficient than truck – the other primary form of land-based freight movement.

The North American class one railroad industry is structured as three sets of duopolies with two covering Canada and the northern US states (Canadian National and Canadian Pacific), two covering the western US states (Union Pacific and BNSF) and two covering the eastern states (Norfolk Southern and CSX). We believe the duopoly between Norfolk Southern and CSX is sustainable due to high barriers to entry and strong network effects. The key barriers to entry are the cost and ability to build a rail network. For context on cost, the value of Norfolk Southern's property, plant and equipment on its balance sheet is ~US\$32 billion, albeit the replacement cost would likely be much higher than this. In terms of ability, building a network of tracks is difficult in practice because a new entrant would need to acquire or lease the land, and face potential NIMBY-ism dynamics. Norfolk Southern also benefits from network effects

given the incremental cost of shipping a unit of freight is lower than the average cost.

The core reason rail is more cost efficient than truck is because trains are much longer than trucks on average. Most semi-trailers in the US are 20 metres long; by contrast, the typical length of a train is about 1.6 kilometres, with some trains in the US exceeding 4.3 kilometres in length. Longer trains lead to lower per-unit costs of transportation because the fixed cost of labour and fuel (typically 60-70% of operating costs for both trucks and rail) can be spread over more units of goods. In basic terms, the cost of labour for rails is splitting the cost of two train drivers over 1.6 kilometres worth of goods versus trucks splitting the cost of a single driver over 20 metres worth of goods. In terms of fuel, the basic physics associated with how a train moves from point A to point B relative to a truck, means that trains can move three to four times more tonnes per gallon of fuel relative to trucks. This is also beneficial for the environment given freight rail's carbon footprint is up to seven times more efficient than trucking.

These attractive characteristics are reflected in Norfolk Southern's high returns on capital employed and strong pricing power, with rates increasing faster than inflation over the last 20 years.

The major change in Norfolk Southern's operating model over the last decade has been the implementation of precision scheduled railroading (also known as PSR) in 2019. PSR is a railroad operating model pioneered by the late Hunter Harrison. Mr Harrison first implemented PSR at Illinois Central Railroad in 1993 and went on to implement PSR at several other class one railroads including Canadian National in 1998, Canadian Pacific in 2014 and CSX in 2017.

The core objective of PSR is to reduce the average time it takes to move a customer's rail car from origin to destination. As a result, PSR is a win-win for customers and shareholders.

Customers win because PSR increases the reliability of rail as a transportation method; i.e., the goods arrive at the scheduled time. The proportion of CSX trains that arrived on time increased from 51% in 2015 (prior to PSR implementation) to 75% in 2018 (post implementation of PSR).

We believe the benefits to shareholders are both immediate and enduring. The significant improvements in asset utilisation driven by PSR (moving the same amount of goods with fewer people and locomotives) resulted in a rapid increase in margins over 24 months. CSX's adjusted operating margins increased from 30% in 2015 to 40% in 2018. We believe Norfolk Southern's margins will follow a similar trajectory given its network footprint is similar to that of CSX. The longer-term benefit is increased capture of volumes currently moving by truck as the reliability gap between truck and rail closes. Given these volumes are likely to be added at high incremental margins, we believe that this should lead to profits growing faster than revenues over the long term.

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Units in the fund referred to herein are issued by Magellan Asset Management Limited ABN 31 120 593 946, AFS Licence No. 304 301 ('Magellan'). This material is issued by Magellan and has been prepared for general information purposes only and must not be construed as investment advice or as an investment recommendation. This material does not take into account your investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs. This material does not constitute an offer or inducement to engage in an investment activity nor does it form part of any offer documentation, offer or invitation to purchase, sell or subscribe for interests in any type of investment product or service. You should obtain and consider the relevant Product Disclosure Statement ('PDS') and Target Market Determination ('TMD') and consider obtaining professional investment advice tailored to your specific circumstances before making a decision about whether to acquire, or continue to hold, the relevant financial product. A copy of the relevant PDS and TMD relating to the relevant Magellan financial product may be obtained by calling +61 2 9235 4888 or by visiting [www.magellangroup.com.au](http://www.magellangroup.com.au).

Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results and no person guarantees the future performance of the fund, the amount or timing of any return from it, that asset allocations will be met, that it will be able to implement its investment strategy or that its investment objectives will be achieved. Statements contained in this material that are not historical facts are based on current expectations, estimates, projections, opinions and beliefs of Magellan or the third party responsible for making those statements (as relevant). Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, and undue reliance should not be placed thereon. This material may contain 'forward-looking statements'. Actual events or results or the actual performance of a Magellan financial product or service may differ materially from those reflected or contemplated in such forward-looking statements. This material may include data, research and other information from third-party sources. Magellan makes no guarantee that such information is accurate, complete or timely and does not provide any warranties regarding results obtained from its use. No representation or warranty is made with respect to the accuracy or completeness of any of the information contained in this material. Magellan will not be responsible or liable for any losses arising from your use or reliance on any part of the information contained in this material.

Further information regarding any benchmark referred to herein can be found at [www.magellangroup.com.au/funds/benchmark-information](http://www.magellangroup.com.au/funds/benchmark-information). Any third-party trademarks contained herein are used for information purposes only and are the property of their respective owners. Magellan claims no ownership in, nor any affiliation with, such trademarks. This material and the information contained within it may not be reproduced, or disclosed, in whole or in part, without the prior written consent of Magellan.